# dragon

THE BULLETIN OF THE
CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY
CHESS CLUB



DRAGON

THE BULLETIN OF THE CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY CHESS CLUB

Volume 13 No. 39 June 1972

## Editorial

In presenting this, the final Dragon of the year, we have a few apologies to offer to our public. In the first place, it will be seen that we lost a match this season. The defections which caused this have also affected Dragon itself, and the perceptive reader may observe that the President is not actually represented in this issue. His loyal helpers, however, have attempted to supply this loss. If there are any further objections, we can only tell captious critics that if they could see the tenuous organisational framework supporting the fabric of our outward manifestations they would be reduced to speechless admiration.

Rory O'Kelly

## Presidential Message

Last term we were very successful again. We won almost all our matches. We also kept our B.U.C.A. trophy and we will probably keep the S.C.C.U. jamboree trophy as well. I would like to thank everyone who played for us.

I am sure that we will be very successful again next year, when Alan Trangmar will be President. I hope everyone will enjoy playing as much as they did this year.

I would like to wish next year's committee good luck. I would also like to thank all this year's committee who helped to run the club.

R.O'Kelly pp R.Webb.

CONTENTS

Editorial.....R.O'Kelly.....page 1.
Presidential Message.....R.Webb.....page 1.
Match Report.....R.O'Kelly etal...page 3.
The Lost Leader.....W.Heidensieck.....page 7.
League and Cuppers.....R.Knucbone.....page 8.
Varsity Match Report.....R.O'Kelly.....page 10.
B.U.C.A. Report.....A.Trangmar et al.page 12.
Tournament Reports.....al.....page 14.

The only congratulations we need to offer in this issue are to W.R.Hartston for his fine result in the Zonal Tournament. It is our sad duty, however, to say farewell to many of our members who are, or may be, leaving at the end of this year. N.J.Patterson and R.Webb will be programming computers, and supporting the structure of the Free World in their different fields and their absence from future matches will be a heavy but not unprecedented blow to the club.

A sudden fate also looms for A.H.Williams and R.O'Kelly if the worst should happen while it can scarcely be expected to resist the temptation offered to it by R.D.Keene I.M. (Cantab.)

Finally, as a counterblow to Krautophile philosophy, we offer our readers the wise words of Roger Ascham on the effects of mathematics and by an obvious derivation chess on the human soul;

" Some wittes,moderate enough by nature,be many times marde by ouer much studie and use of some sciences, namelie,Musicke,Arithmetick,and Geometric.Thies sciences, as they sharpen mens wittes ouer much,so they change mens manners ouer sore,if they be not moderatlie mingled & wisely applied to some good use of life.Marke all Mathematicall heades,which be onely and wholly bent to those sciences,how solitarie they be theselves,how unfit to liue with others,& how unapte to serue in the world. "

Match Report for the PRESIDENT

All our results,as given below,speak for themselves,except for our first match against Mittelsex by which we failed to win the S.C.C.U.Championship To explain this,it is high time to speak out and reveal the truth about the shirkers and blacklegs who have usurped the name of Cambridge players.

The first place in the Roll of Dishonour is occupied without dispute by R.D.Keene,who for some time now has been about as much use to Cambridge as a Chess Player as he has as a research student.To be a successful professional it appears,one must do anything except play chess,and Mr.Keene's ability to run himself at a profit has clearly not been developed without certain sacrifices at the board.His talent for eating dinners,however,remains unimpaired,and he probably still has a distinguished career ahead of him in the club,as a guest.

Mention must also be made of N.J.Patterson,the celebrated bridge player and spy.He,at least,usually has sufficient shame to keep it secret when he does not intend to play in a match.We would like to point out that in this season B.Rothbart,though no longer a member of the team,scored almost as many points as the former two players put together.

County Matches

| | |
|---|---|
| v.Mittelsex | $9\frac{1}{2}$-$10\frac{1}{2}$ |
| v.Kent | 12-8 |
| v.Sussex | $13\frac{1}{2}$-$6\frac{1}{2}$ |
| v.Surrey | 12-6 |
| v.Mittelsex | 10-6 |
| v.Yorks. | 11-5 |

The match against Yorkshire was the semi-final of the National Championship.The trembling victims at present awaiting our final blow are the men of Essex,who graced our triumph last year also.

National Club

| | |
|---|---|
| v.Stevenage | $3\frac{1}{2}$-$1\frac{1}{2}$ |
| v.Leeds | 5-1 |
| v.Manchester | 4-2 |

Here too,we are poised on the brink of ultimate success,with only the Boy Scouts of the London Y.M.C.A to stop us.

Friendly Matches:

v London University 12½-4½
v Civil Service 19-5
v Athenaeum 6-3
v Insurance 11-2
v Essex 11½-3½

fortunately there is no national friendly championship, as we might have some trouble proving our eligibility.

Other Events

B.U.C.A. Congress - Cambridge I won with 11/14 Cambridge II were 4th. with 7½.
S.C.C.U. Jamboree - Our win awaits confirmation.

GAMES from COUNTY MATCHES.

White: J.N. Sugden Black: R.Bellinger ( Cambs. v Kent )
1) d4,Nf6 2) c4, e6 3) Nc3, Bb4 4) a3, Bc3:+ 5) bc:, 0-0 6) f3, Ne8 7) e4, b6 8) Nh3!? Nc6 9)Bg5, f6 10) Bh4, Ba6 11) Bd3, Qc8! 12) 0-0? (a) Na5 13) Qe2, c5 14) e5(b), f5?(c) 15) d5(d), ed: 16) cd:, c4 17) Bc2, Qc5+ 18) Kh1, Qd5: 19) Rad1, Qf7(e) 20) g4!, g6(f) 21) gf:, gf: 22) Rg1+, Kh8 23) Ng5, Qe7(g) 24) e6(h), de: 25) Qe5+,Nf6 26) Ne4!, fe: 27) Rd7, [illegible] 28) Qc7, Nd5 29) Be7:, Nc7: 30) Bf8:, Rf8: 31) Be4: 1-0.

a) This commits White to sacrificing the c pawn. A more cautious line was 12) Bf2, Na5 13) Qe2, c5 14) Rc1 for then if 14)... Nd6 15) e5 forces the awkward 15)... Ndc4: ( instead of ... Bc4: ); or if 14)... e5 15) de:, fe: 16) Bg3.
b) Of course, if 14) Rc1, cd: 15) cd:, Nb3, or if 14) d5, e5 followed by ...Nd6 and ...Bc4:.
c) Probably the losing move, and Black's only substantial error in the entire game!? Instead, after 14)... cd: 15)cf:, Nf6: 16) Bf6:, Rf6: 17) Qe4, Rh6 18) cd:, Bc4: White hasn't enough for the pawn.
d) Here too, White is losing a pawn, but in much more favourable circumstances.
e) White has the same answer to 19)... Qc6.
f) Or 20)... fg: 21) fg:! ( clearer than 21) Ng5, Qh5 or 21) Qe4, Qh5 ) Bb7+ 22) Be4, Be4:+ 23) Qe4:, Qf1:+ 24) Rf1:, Rf1:+ 25) Kg2 and a

...ck rook goes away ( to use a turn of phrase popularised by H. Wurst )
g) Alternatively: 23)... Qh5 24) Rd7:, Qh4 25) Rh7:+? Qh7: 26) Nh7:, Kh7: 27) Qg2 1-0.
h) Scrudge. In goes the boot! The rest, as they say, requires no comment.

The following game is offered by Mr. Eales as a plea for reprieve of Mr. Alekhine's Defence.

White: S. Bruzzi Black: R.G. Eales

1 e4 nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 Bg4 5 h3 Bf3; 6 Qf3; de; 7 de; e6 ...3 Nd7 9 Qg3 c6 10 c4? ( fatally weakening g3 ) ...Ne7
11 Bd2 Qc7 12 Bc3 Ng6 13 f4 Be7 14 Qf3 Nh4 15 Qg4 h5 16 Qg7? Ng6! 17 White resigns

White: L.S. Tate (Yorks.) Black: R.G. Eales

7 c4 Nb6 (is missing.

1 e4 Nf6 2 e5 Nd5 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 Bg4 5 Be2 e6 6 0-0 Be7 7 ed: cd: 8 Nc3 0-0 9 Be3 d5 10 c5 Bf3 11 Bf3 Nc4 12 Bf4 Bg5 13 b3 Na5 14 Bd6 Be7 15 Nb5? ( White concentrates on the d6 square at the expense of d4. 15 Be7 is the only real alternative to repetition with 15 Bf4) ...Nbc6 (a) 16 Rc1? a6 17 Be7 Qe7 18 Nd6 Qh4! 19 b4 Nb4 20 Qa4 Nac6 21 a3 Nd3 22 Rc3 Nd4! 23 Qd1 Ne5 24 Be2 Nec6 25 Rh3 Ne2+ 26 Qe2 Qe7 27 f4 Qf6 28 f5 e5 29 Qd2 Nd4 30 Qa2 b6! 31 White resigns.
(a) After this White is hopelessly outnumbered - by two knights to one, as the rest of the game shows.

White: A.G. Trangmar Black: J. Speelman (Mittx.)

1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc3 g6 3 g3 Bg7 4 Bg2 0-0 5 e3 d6 6 Nge2 e5 7 0-0 Nc6 8 d3 Bd7 9 Rb1 Qc8 10 b4 Bh3 11 Nd5 Bxg2 12 Kxg2 Qd7 13 Qa4 a6? 14 Nec3 Rfd8 15 b5 Nxd5 16 cxd Ne7 17 e4 f5(?) 18 bxa Qxa4 19 Nxa4 Rxa6 20 Nc3 b6 21 Bg5 Kf7 22 Nb5 Rc8 23 Rfc1 Rxa2 24 Nxc7 Rb8 25 Nb5 Rd8 26 Rc7 Bf6 27 Be3 Ra4 28 Bxb6 (a) Ke8 29 Rb7 Rd7 30 Rxd7 Kxd7 31 Rc1 Nc8 32 Rc7+ Kd8 33 Rf7+(?) Nxb6 34 Rxf6 fxe 35 dxe Rxc4 36 Rxd6+ Nd7 ... Xf3 Rb4 38 Nc3 Rb3 39 Rc6 Ke7 40 Ke4 Rb4+. Adjudicated win for White
(a) The game now becomes rather less smooth as the players get into time trouble,but White's advantage just proves sufficient.

White: A.H.Williams Black: M.V.Lambshire(Kent)

1 Nf3 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 g3 c5 4 Bg2 d5 5 0-0 dxc 6 Na3 Nbd7 7 Nxc4 b5? 8 Nce5 Bb7 9 Nxf7 Kxf7 10 Ng5+ Kg6 11 Qc2+ Kxg5 12 d4+ Kh5 13 Bxb7 g5 (Or 13...Nd5 14 Bxd5 exd 15 Qf5+ g5 16 Qf7+ Kh6 17 h4 Be7 18 Kg2) 14 h4! Bh6 (Or 14...g4 15 d5! winning,or 14...gxh 15 Bf3+ etc) 15 hxg Bxg5 16 f4 (Interesting is 16 Kg2 Bxc1 17 Rh1+ Kg5 18 f4+ Bxf4 19 gxf+ Kg4+ 20 Bf3+ Kxf4 21 Rafl)....Bh4! 17 Bf3+ Ng4 18 Kg2 Bxg3! 19 Rh1+ (better than 19 Kxg3)..Bh4 20 Bd2 Kh621( 20...Qg8 21 Rxh4+??Kxh4 22 Rh1+ Nh2+ 23 Kxh2 Qg3 mate! is possible but unlikely.Better is 21 Be1!) 21 Bxg4 Rg8 22 Kf3 cxd 23 Ragl (White was not tempted by 23 Be1 which loses to 23...Ne5+ )...Rac8 24 Qe4 Nc5 25 Qe5 Resigns.

White: P.K.Bissicks Black: S.Owen (London)

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 d4 Nxe4 4 dxe Bc5 5 Nd4 Nc6 6 Qd3 Nxf2 7 Kxf2 Bxd4+ 8 Ke1 o-o 9 Be2 Re8 10 Rf1 Bxe5 11 Qf5 Qe7 12 Bg5 Bg3+ 0-1

White : R.O'Kelly  Black : Hawes(Mittx)

1 d4 e6 2 c4 d5 3 g3 f5 4 Bg2 c6 5 Nf3 Bd6 6 0-0 Qf6 7 b3 Nd7 8 Ba3 Bxa3 9 Nxa3 Nh6 10 b4! Qe7 11 Qb3 a6 12 Nc2 0-0 13 a4 Nf7 14 b5 dxc 15 Qxc4 Nd6 16 Qb4 axb 17 axb Rxa1 18 Rxa1 cxb 19 Na3 Rd8 20 Nxb5 Nb6 21 Nc5 Kf8 22 Rc1 Nxb5 23 Qxb5 Qd6 24 e3 (threat Nc6) Re8 25 h4 Re7 26 Qxb6 1-0

White : R.O'Kelly  Black : R.Gardner (Yorks.)

1 d4 g6 2 c4 Bg7 3 Nc3 c5 4 d5 d6 5e4 Nf6 6 Be2 0-0 7 Nf3 e5 8 0-0 Nh5? 9 g3 Bg4 10 Ne1 Bxe2 11 Qxe2 f5? 12 exf Rxf5 13 Ne4 h6 14 Nf3! Rf7 15 Nh4 Nf4 (if 15...Kh7 16 Nxg6) 16 Qg4! h5 17 Qd1 Na6 18 Nf3 Nh3+ 19 Kg2 Qd7 20 Nh4 Kh7 21 Qc2 Nb4 22 Ng5+ Nxg5 23 Qxg6+ Kg8 24 Bg5 Qg4 25 b3 e4 26 Rae1 Rae8 27 h3 Qd7 28 Rxe4 Rxe4 29 Qxe4 Nxa2 30 Qe2 Nc 31 Qxh5 b5 32 Re1 bxc 33 bxc a5 34 Ng6 a4 35 Ne7+ Kf8 36 Qh7 Ke8 37 Ng6+ Be5 38 Qg8+ 1-0

White : A.H.Williams  Black : D.Wright (Mittx.)

1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc3 Nf6 4 Bg5 Bb4 (an opening which my opponent had doubtless acquired from his wife Dinah,née Dobson) 5 e5 h6 6 Bd2 Bc3; 7 bc; Ne4 8 Qg4 Kf8 9 h4 c5 10 Bd3 Nd2; 11Kd2; Nc6 (?:Qa5!) 12 Rh3 h5 13 Qf4 Qe7 14 Nf3 cd 15 cd Bd7 15 Ng5 Ke8(an unsuccessful attempt t flight) 16 Rb1 b6 17 Rg3 Nd8 (18 Nf7 was threatened) 18 Nh7! Rh7;(forced) 19 Bh7; g6 20 Rb3 Ba4 21 Rc3 Nc6 22 Bg6;! fg; 23 Rg6; Kd7 24 Rf6 Qb4 25 Rf7+ Ne7 26 a3! Qa5 27 Re7;! Ke7; 28 Qf6+ Kd7 29 Qf7+ Kd8 30 Qf8+ Be8 31 Qf6+ 1-0 (...Kd7 32 Qg7+ Kd8 33 Qc7 mate)

White : T.W.Robbins  Black : W.A.Linton (Surrey)

1 b3! e5 2 Bb2 Nc6 3 e3 Nf6 4 c4 d5 5 cd; Nd5; 6 a3 Bd6 7 Qc2 00 8 Nc3 Nc3 9 Qc3; Qe7 10 Bc4 Kh8 11 Ne2 f5 12 f4 ef; 13 ef; Bf4; 14 Qg7+ Qg7; 15 Bg7;+ Kg7; 16 Nf4; Nd4 17 Rc1 Bd7 18 Kf2 Bc6 19 Rhe1 Rde8 20 Re3 Re4 21 g3 Rfe8 22 b4 R4e7 23 Bd5 Kf8 24 Rc4 Nb5 25 Bc6; bc6; 26 Rc6; Re3; 27 de3; Na3; 28 Rc7; Re7 29 Rc5 Kg7 30 Rf5 Nc2 31 Nd5 Rb7 32 Rf4 Rb5 33 Rc4 Rd5; 34 Rc2; Rb5 35 Rc7+ Kg6 36 Ra7; Rb4; 37 Kf3 Rb2 38 h4 h5 39 Ra6+ Kg7 40 Ra5 Kg6 41 Rg5+ Kh6 42 Kf4 Rf2+ 43 Ke5  1-0

Next we print something for the younger player,a game from the National Club Championship.

White : N.E.Povah (Leeds U.)  Black : A.H.Williams

1 e4 g6 2 Bc4 Nc6 3 d4 d6 4 Nf3 Bg7 5 Ng5 Nh6!?(somewhat rustic) 6 c3 e5 7 00!? ed; 8 f4 Qc 9 f5 Ne5 10 Bb3 c5 11 cd; cd; 12 Na3 b5(a profound pawn sacrifice) 13 Nb5; Ba6 14 a4 Rc8 15 Bd5 d3 16 Be3 Rc2 17 Nd4 Re2! 18 Nge6(Black had,of course,forseen this move long before) Qh4 19 Bf2 Rf2;! 20 Rf2; Nhg4 21 g3 Qh3 22 Nf8; Nf2; 23 Qf1 Neg4 24 Qh3; Nh3;+ 25 Kg2 Bd4; 26 Kh3; Nf2+ 27 Kg2 Kf8; 28 Bb3 Ne4; 29 fg; hg; 30 Bd5 f5 31 Kf3 Nf2 32 Rf1 d2  1-0

A well calculated game.

In case the reader might be alarmed by this sort of thing,we now publish the antidote,from the S.C.C.U. Jamboree.

White : R.O'Kelly  Black : R.L.Owen (Bucks.Reserves)

1d4 e6 2 c4 Nf6 3g3 g6 4Bg2 d5 5Nf3 Bg7 600 00 7Nbd2 Nbd7 8b3 c5 9Bb2 Rb8 10Rc1 b6 11dc; Nc5; 12 Be5 Rb7 13Qc2 Nh5 14Rfd1 Rd7 15Bg7; Kg7; 16Ne5 Rd6 17b4 Nd7 18c5 bc; 19bc; Ra6 20Nd3 Rc6 21Nb3 a5 22Qc3+ Nhf6 23Na5; Ra6 24Nb3 Ra2; 25Nb4 Ra8 26e4 de; 27c6  1-0

## The Lost Leader ..... W.Heidensieck

Speculation about the whereabouts and future intentions of the one time Cambridge chess star R.D.Keene B.A. reached fever pitch last weekend when he failed to turn out for the champions' vital cup game against Yorkshire.Despite recent communications from his luxury penthouse in Clapham,the Cambridge part time player manager Roger Webb,9,was unable to provide any coherent explanation for Keene's absence.

However,in the critical last hours before the match rumours circulated that he was unable to play as he had promised to write a book that afternoon.We had hoped to solve this problem in the traditional way,by a statistical analysis,but figures for the star's books are not available. Here,instead,are some for his games:

| | Wins | Losses | Draws |
|---|---|---|---|
| Berlin | 2 | 1 | 12 |
| Palma | 1 | 3 | 11 |
| Hastings | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| Rejkjavik | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Teeside | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| International matches | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Total | 11 | 17 | 54 |
| | 13% | 21% | 66% |

All over Cambridge the question is being asked; can this really be the man who brushed aside reporters with the single comment,"I play to win."?Why is he reduced to running hard to stay in the same place atr at least 66% of the time?

Dragon says enough is enough!NOW is the time tocall off the search for the mythical land flowing with milk and master titles,the fabled caucus tournament with draws for all the players.

Yes,there's no doubt about it,the message that goes out to R.D.Keene from the chess players of Cambridge today is

(cont.page 94)

Editorial Note.

As a corrective to Herr Heidensieck's rather exagerated criticism of the present British champion we are proud to present the following fine example of his attacking style.

White . G.Sax Black . R.D.Keene

1 e4 d6 2 d4 Nf6 3 Nc3 g6 4 Be2 Bg7 5 h4 h5 6 f3 00 7 Nh3 Nc6 8 Be3 c5 9 d5 Nd4 10 Bd4; ed4; 11 Qd4; c6 12 dc; bc; 13 Qd2 Rb8 14 000 Rb2;! 15 Kb2; Ne4;! 16 fe4; and White won.

## LEAGUE and CUPPERS REPORT

It is well known that rules are only made to be broken. Thus it should come as no surprise that the college teams between them have broken nearly all the rules available, the only rules emerging unscathed being those which require considerable effort and efficiency to break. Since all the teams which reached the semi-finals of Cuppers had by then transgressed in some way or other, the final stages of Cuppers must be regarded as entirely unofficial. It would seem appropriate to name the last college to be knocked out without having broken any of the rules the Official Winners. After some research I therefore award the green plastic egg-cup to Downing. This too should come as no surprise.

There almost as many shocks in Cuppers as in the more famous F.A.Cup. Trinity Hall, after foolishly moaning that the seeding would result in them having to play Trinity in the semi-final, met their Hereford in the first round. In view of their complaint about the seeding this gave me no little pleasure. However, my joy was short-lived. In the second round, Colchester, a team which had previously been so bad that it had rightly been ignored, beat Downing. Allegations of bribery have been levelled at Downing's star stricker, in particular by their player-manager who did not get drinks with his draw. Unfortunately these amazing events did nothing to challenge the inevitable progress of Jesus and Trinity to the final. This of course should take place in the Lent Term but the Jesus organisation (ho-ho) seemed intent on allowing the match to be played at the end of this term so that Trinity's famous sweeper might be available after his international commitments.

Cuppers Results :

Prelim. Rd.: Magdelene 3½ Emmanuel 1½ ; Pembroke ½ St.John's 4½ ; Peterhouse defaulted against Selwyn.

First Rd. : Downing 4½ St.Caths ½ ;Corpus 3½ T.Hall 1½ Trinity 5 sidney 0 ;Fitzwillian 4 Magdelene 1 ; Selwyn 3 Kings 2 ; Queens 1 Jesus 4 ; Christs 2½ Johns 2½,Johns won on board count; Caius defaulted against Churchill.

Second Rd. : Downing 2 Selwyn 3
Fitzwillian 2½ Corpus 2½ (Fitz win on bd c
Trinity 3½ Johns 1½
Jesus 3 Churchill 2

Semi-Finals : Fitzwillian 1½ Trinity 3½
Jesus 4 Selwyn 1

Final : At the moment the score is 0-0;there is a small chance that the match willbe played.

Meanwhile, back in the bread-and-butter stuff of the League, it became apparent that a considerable struggle was taking place. In particular the right to be relegated from division one after next season was being strongly contested. From an early stage Colchester

seemed likely winners of division two, but second place was not decided until the very last matches. Queens' consistency was rewarded in the end, but Johns and Churchill were only a point or so behind. Incidentally, the second division ran extremely smoothly, in direct contrast to division one. This I am sure is an immediate result of not having a certain winner in the second division- players feel that their individual results might affect the final outcome.

RESULTS :

Division 2 : Selwyn 30½; Queens 26; Churchill 25; Johns 24½; Trinity II 21½; Magdelene 16½; Peterhouse 14; St.CathsII 13½; Kings 8½.

Div. 3 : Corpus(24) and Pembroke(23½) were promoted; DOWNING II 19½; Trinity III 17½; FitzwilliamII 16; Christs II 15; Caius 13; Kings 6½.

Div. 4 : Emma II (26½) and Queens II (20) promoted; Selwyn II 14½; Jesus II 13½; Trinity IV and Pembroke II 12 Kings III last with 5½, thus completing a memorable hat-trick for the Kings teams.

I would like to thank those who did adjudications, (there were as usual no appeals) and also those college secretaries who sent in results promptly.

Finally, I wish my successor-R.Bailey, the skipper of Colchester-the best of luck in his impossible task. Perhaps the college secretaries could demonstrate their efficiency by telling him who will be their secretary next year? If he gets two replies he will have done better than I did.

Robin Kneebone

From Cuppers :

P.Henry(Churchill) v T.Robbins(Jesus)
1.d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 Bg5 Ne4 5 Bh4 c5 6 dxc Nxc3 7 bxc3 Bg7 8 Rc1 Qa5 9 Qb3 Dxc 10 Qxc4 Nc6 11 e4 Be6 12 Qd3 Rd8 13 Qc2 Bh6 14 Rd1 Rxd1+ 15 Kxd1 0-0 16 Nf3 Rd8+ 17 Ke1 Nb4 18 Qb2 Rd1+(!) 19 Kxd1 Qa4+ 20 Ke2 Bc4+ 21 Ke1 Nc2+ 22 Qxc2 Qxc2 23 Bxc4 and White resigned.

From the League :

R.O'Kelly (Christs) v N.J.Holloway (Trinity)
1 Nf3 Nf6 2c4 g6 3 Nc3 Bg7 4 e4 0-0 5 d4 d6 6 Be2 e5 7 0-0 Nc6 8 d5 Ne7 9 Bd2 Nd7 10 Rc1 Kh8 11 Ne1 f5 12 exf Nxf5 13 Ne4 Nf6 14 Bg5 c5 15 dxc bxc 16 Nf3 h6 17 Nxe5?! dxe5 18 Qxd8 Nxe4! 19QC7 Nxg5 20 Qxc6 Rb8 21 Qxg6 (not 21 b3 Nd4) Rxb2 22 Bd3 e4 23 Bc2 (not 23 Bb1 e3 24 fxe Rxg2+!) Nd4 24 Bd1 e3 (24...Bb7!) 25 fxe Rxg2+ 26 Kxg2 Bh3+ 27 Kg3 Rxf1 28 exd Rg1+ 29 Kh4 (29Kf2 Bxd4+ 30 Ke2 Bf1+ 31 Kd2 Rg2+ 32 Ke1 Nf3+ 33 Bxf3 Rxg6 34 Kxf1 Rg1+ ) Nf3+? (...Bd7! 35 Qd6! Bf5! (threat Ne4) and the reader may continue the analysis...) 30 Bxf3 Rxg6 31 Kxh3 Bxd4 32 c5 Rg7 33 Rc4 Be3 34 c6 Bb6 35 Bg4 Re7 36 Rc3 Kg7 1-0

## VARSITY MATCH

| CAMBRIDGE | | OXFORD | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 A.H.Williams ( Downing) | 1 | Markland | 0 |
| 2 R.G.Eales ( Christ's) | 0 | Moles | 1 |
| 3 T.W.Robbins (Jesus) | 1 | Smith | 0 |
| 4 A. Kanamori ( King's) | 1 | Thrower | 0 |
| 5 R. Bailey ( Selwyn) | ½ | Johannes | ½ |
| 6 R. Webb ( Jesus) | 1 | Burton | 0 |
| 7 A.G.Trangmar ( Trinity) | 1 | Haigh | 0 |
| | 5½ | | 1½ |

In our annual chess match as in the boat race, the tenacity and determination of the Oxford team demand respect. Fortunately the only game that Oxford have won in the past two years has already been published, by H.Golombek, so we may feel relieved of this neighbourly duty. It is true, incidentally, that Moles - Eales was also the worst game of its year, but since either fact would have been a sufficient motive for Mr. Golombek we can adopt the more charitable explanation.

The match itself was of less competitive interest than, ~~x~~ for example, the selection of the Cambridge team. It is true that various Oxford players were called away by the superior claims of piety and motherhood, but it might be suspected that this flabby attitude of mind would have been equally fatal in actual play. The actual struggle was a virtual repetition of last year's in that Cambridge first scattered the flanks and then broke through the Oxford centre at leisure, though it terminated still more successfully when A.H.Williams succeeded in capturing the enemy standard.

From the match:

Playing the white pieces: A.G.Trangmar

Playing the black pieces: G.T.Haigh.

Phase the First: in which Black plays the usual moves and gets the usual good position.

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c6 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 a3 Bxc3+ ~~bx~~ 5 bc c5 6 e3

Phase the Second: in which Black embarks upon a slightly dubious plan of development which, however, still leaves him with a defensible position.

6...0-0 7 Bd3 d6 8 e4 e5 9 Ne2 Re8 10 d5 Nbd7

Phase the Third: in which Black, while his opponent is wasting time with Knightly perambulation, makes a gallant sally with his pieces in the direction of the Queen's wing.

11 Ng3 Qa5 12 Bd2 g6 13 Nf1 Nb6 14 Ne3 Bd7 15 0-0 Ba4 16 Qf3

Phase the Fourth: in which Black retracts his last sequence of moves, while yet finding time to make adaring pawn thrust, potentially throwing open several diagonals.

16...Nbd7 17 Ng4 Nxg4 18 Qxg4 Qc7 19 Rael b5 20 Re3 bc 21 Bxc4 Rb8 22 Rh3 Nf8 23 Qh4 Bd7 24 Rg3

Phase the Fifth: in which Black, finding himself in the midst of horrible dangers, makes a bold attempt to save himself, which unfortunately proves insufficient.

24...f5 25 f4 cf 26 Bxf4 fc 27 Qf6 Qd8 28 Qxd6 Qb6 29 Re3 Bf5 30 Qxb6 Rxb6

Phase the Sixth: in which White, notwithstanding a shortage of time, secures the decisive advantage of protected passed bishops on the seventh.

31 Be7 Rb2 32 a4 Nd7 33 d6+ Kg7 34 g4 Bxg4 35 Rf7+ Kh6 36 Bb5 Rf8 37 Bxd7 Rb1+ 38 Kg2 Bf3+ 39 Rfxf3 cf+ 40 Rxf3.

At which point black decided the game had gone on for long enough.

T.W.Robbins v. R.H.Smith .

1 b3 c5 2 Bb2 d6 3 g3 g6 4 Bg2 Bg7 5 c4 f5 6 e3 Nf6 7 d3 0-0 8 Ne2 Qe7 9 0-0 a5 10 Nbc3 c6 11 Qd2 Be6 12 f4 Rd8 13 Rael Qc7 14 Khl Nbd7 15 c4 Bh6 16 d4 Ne4 17 Ne4 fe 18Qe2 Bg7 19 Be4 Bh3 20 Bg2 Bf5 21 Qel Nf8 22 fe de 23 de Be5 24 Nf4 Bb2 25 Qb2 Qd7 26 Nd5 ed 27 Bd5+ Be6 28 Rf8+ Kf8 29 Qh8+ Ke7 30 Qg7+ Kd6 31 Qe5+ Ke7 32 Qg7+ Kd6 33 Re6= Ke5 34 Qe5 Kb4 35 a3+ Resigns.

A.H.Williams v. P. R. Markland.

1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 ed ed 5 Bd3 Nf6 6 Nge2 0-0 7 0-0 Bg4 8 f3 Bh5 9 Bg5 c6 10 Ng3 Bg6 11 Nce2 Be7 12 Qd2 Ne8 13 Bxe7 Qxe7 14 Rael Bxd3 15 Qxd3 Nd6 16 Nf5 Nxf5 17 Ng3 Qf6 18 Nxf5 Na6 19 Re5 Rae8 20 Rfel Rxe5 21 Rxe5 g6? 22 Nh6+ Kg7 23 Qd2 Qh4 24 Ng4 f5 25 Nf2 Qd8 26 Qe3 Nc7 27 Nd3 b6 28 Nf4 Kf7 29 g3 Re8 30 Kf2 Qf6 31 Nd3 Rxe5 32 Qxe5 Qd8 33 c3 Qc8 34 Nf4 Nb5 35 a4 Nc7 36 h4 h5 37 Qd6 Ne6 38 Nd3 Qc7 39 Ne5+ Kf6 40 Nd7+ Kf7 41 Ne5+ Kf6 42 Qxc7 Nxc7 and Black resigned.

By way of apology, we maintain that there are several good reasons for publishing the following game. Firstly, there would otherwise be a large gap at the bottom of the page. Secondly, MR.Bailey is otherwise unrepresented in this issue, having failed to become 'Mr. Wirral 1972', in, we have been told, in somewhat humiliating fashion. Thirdly, we must not give the impression that Oxford gained no points at all. Finally, the game may prove instructive for readers who do not possess a copy of 'Flank Openings'.

R.Bailey v. R.L.Johannes.

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c6 3 g3 d5 4 Bg2 Be7 5 Nf3 0-0 6 0-0 Nbd7 7 Qc2 c6 8 Nbd2 b6 9 b3 Bb7 10 Bb2 Rc8 11 Radl Qc7 12 c4 de 13 Nxe4 Nxe4 14 Qxe4 c5 15 Qe2 Nf6 16 Rhel cxd4 Draw Agreed.

It may be that the moves as given above were not played in that order, or indeed at all. To such tedious criticisms we reply that the position reached above is probably similar to that of the game and that, in any case, the result is correct.

## B.U.C.A.

This was played at Imperial College, London, during the Easter vac. At 3 to a carpetless room, accommodation was appalling and playing conditions were also cramped.
Team Scores (in the final 'A' section):

Cambridge 11½ Southampton 10 Oxford 9½ Sheffield & Cambridge II 9 etc. ( the second team, headed by R.O'Kelly had qualified with ease a point ahead of London I.)

Also the 3rd team only just failed to qualify, scoring 7½/14 in the preliminaries in spite of having only six players. In the finals, members still further declined to five, although Z.Grophulous was roped in to win a game on default ( a stratagem which was, however, eventually uncovered by the organisers). Nevertheless, a score of about 50% was attained ahead of several 1st teams.

Mr. Ealez, it will be seen, has carried off the W.R.Hartston prize in perpetuity ; . as a small consolation we publish the game which he won.

R.G.Eales v. E.B.Gumm ( Redbrick U.)
1d4 d5 2 c4 dc 3 e4 e5 4 Nf3 ed 5 Bc4 Nf6 6 0-0 c5 7 Qb3 Be6 8 Pe6 fe 9 Qe6+ Qe7 10 Qx8+ Kf7 11 e5 Qd7 12 e6+ Ke6 13 Re1+ Kd5 14 Re5+ Kc4 15 Na3+ Kb4 16 Bd2+ Ka4 17 Rc5 Resigns.

## The Individual Tournament by S.J.Taylor.

The last minute withdrawal of Gubitt weakened the tournament considerably leaving: L.P.Burnett (197), S.Weeramantry ( Soton.-202), SJT, M.J.Donelly ( Salford - 191), the only reasonable players in the tournament.

I got an easy draw for the first three rounds and swallowed three smaller fish. Burnett also scored three, but Donelly slipped up in round1 and only had two. Wieramantry did even worse. In Round 1 he got smashed and in Round 3 he awoke too late to reach the play-ong hall on time , hence he had only one point at this stage.

During the next three rounds there were no decisive games on the top three tables, so Round 6 started with:
SJT and L.P.Burnett 4½/6, Donelly, Wieramantree and others 3½.
Ashby then proceeded to make a most unfortunate draw: Wierdomantry - Taylor and Burnett - Fish.

As expected Burnett won, for my adventures see the game.

Final result: Burnett 5½, SJT 5, J.Burnett, Tucker, Donelly 4½, Weeramantry 4....

The critical game:
S.Weeramantry v. S.J. Taylor
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 d4 ed 4 Bc4 Nf6 5 0-0 Ne4 6 Re1 d5 7 Bxd5 Qxd5 8 Nc3 Qa5 9 Ne4 Be6 10 Bd2 Bb4 11 Nxd4 Nxd4 12 c3xBe6 13 cd Qd5 14 Bb4 Bb4 15 Qa4+ Qc6 16 Qb4 0-0-0 17 Nc5 ( Diverging from M.C.O. at last.) 17...Bd5 18 Rac1 Qg6 19 g3 Qb6 ( Better is 19...Rhe8 =) 20 Qa4 h5! 21 Re7 h4 22 Qc2 ( 22 Qd1 is much stronger, it protects d4 in some critical lines and threatens 23 Qg4+.) 22...hg 23 fg Qf6 24 Re5 ( After 57 minutes thought ( wasted - ed.). If 24 Na6 Qd4+ 25 Kf1 Qf6+ draw; Weeramantry was unwilling to concede the draw, however, as then he would get no money at all, so he plays on.( Thus SJT; Black could also try 25 Bc6 0-1.)) 24...Qf3 25 Ne4 c6 26 Re1 f5

27 Rxf5 ( Weeramantroid's face lit up! 'I'm going to win',he said.) 27...Rh2! ( He couldn't believe it, now White and Black both have a rook and a queen en prise.) 28 Qxc6+ Bxc6 29 Rxf3 Rxb2? ( ed. - At this point a draw was agreed although, as Mr. Taylor points out, he is still winning.)

Readers of the above might be tempted to suspect Mr. Taylor of undue pessimism. To correct this impression we publish some of his games below with his own annotations.

University Ch. Rd. 7.
S.J.Taylor v. R.J.Pearce ( Annihilation!.- SJT.)
1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cd 4 Nd4 a6 5 Bd3 Qc7 6 0-0 Bc5? ( Pearce develops his pieces in a random order; better is 6...Nc6 against the mighty SJT.) 7 Nb3 Ba7 8 Qg4! Kf8 ( ed.- Black did not dare try 8...Qe5 and ...Nf6 against his formidable opponent.) 9 Nc3 Nf6 10 Qh4 d6 11 Kh1 Nbd7 12 f4 b5 13 e5 de 14 fe Qxe5 ( If 14..Nxe5 15 Rxf6! - SJT. At this point SJT deletes from his manuscript his further note'15...gf 16 Qxf6 Rg8 17 Bf4 wins.' possibly because he thought it was too obvious even after 17...Ng4 0-1. Alternatively, he may have preferred 16 Bh6+! instead with such lines as 16...; Ke8 17 Qxf6 Ng4 - there is nothing else except ...Rg8 - 18 Qxh8+ Kd7 and Black appears to win, but with difficulty.) 15Bf4 Qh5 16 Bd6+ Kg8 17 Qxh5 Nxh5 18 Be4 ('Suddenly RJP awoke but it is too late;'SJT is no longer playing an attack.) 18...Bb8 19 Bxa8 Bxd6 20 Rad1 Be7 21 Bb7 Nb6 22 Bxc8 Nxc8 23 Rd7 g6 24 Nd4 Kg7 25 Nc6 Bf6 26 g4 1-0.

Club Tournament Rd.5.
S.J.Taylor v. R.J.Pearce.
1 e4 g6 2 d4 Bg7 3 Nc3 d6 4 f4 e5 ( Clearly hoping for early exchanges against the fearsome SJT - ed.) 5 Be3 ed 6 Bxd4 Nf6 7 e5 de 8 Bxe5 Qe7 9 Bb5+ Bd7 ( c6 is even better - ed.) 10 Qe2 0-0 11 Bxc7 ( 'N.J.Holloway has corrupted my style! ' ; the best thing that ever happened to it, one might think.) 11...Qc5 12 Be5 Nd5 ('12...Ng4 is very good.') 13 Nxd5 Bxb5 14 Qd2 Re8 ( 14..f6!) 15 0-0-0! (Turning the tables.) *15...Rxe5 16 Nf3 ( Smash!) Re6 17 Qh6 ( Smash) f6 18 Rhe1 ( Smash) Rxe1 19 Rxe1 Nc6 20 Nxf6+ Kf7 21 Ne4 ('21 Ng5+ is faster.' Not to say better.) 21...Qh5 22 Qxh5 gh 23 Nd6+ 1-0.

* Insert 15...Bxe5 16 fe.

**********

We should here point out that the Club Tournament, which was full of people playing like this, has now finished, and was won by some of them.

A special cup is awarded for the best game played in this sort of class. Competitors should apply on a post card to R.Webb, ' King Rabbit Comp', Jesus. S.J.Taylor will provide expert annotations for a small fee.

## THE WEEKEND SWISSES by N.J.Holloway

Back in the mists of time a suggestion was made by the late Mr. Keene ( ex- Cambridge University and Chess Club) that Cambridge should begin sending contingents of players to clean up the weekend Swiss tournaments and to bring the light of Cambridge chess into these previously dark dens of woodpushing. The following is a report of our achievements so far in this field.

January - a contingent of N.J.H. A.H.W. AGT and mfs set off for the Welsh coalfields in the Hollowaymobile Mk. 1 ( fitted with the latest German push-starting mechanism.). AGT reports on this below.

March - which necessitates a journey to the furthest reaches of the Celtic regions - the little known hamlet of Gwbert-on-Sea. Here our success was marred only by the evil S.J.Hutchings, who defeated our noble President in the last round, thus thwarting our attempt to capture both 1st and 2nd places. Richard Eales, though not playing well himself did admirable service as team trainer.

Results: 1) S.J.Hutchings 4½
2-3 R.Webb, M. Stean 4
NJH 3, RGE 2½.

April - May. The troops having temporarily deserted, N.J.H. was left to carry the standard alone to Norwich ( the East Anglian Open ) and Wolverhampton ( the Staffordshire Open). However, by dint of his ability at pulling the quick swindle and also by well-timed oblivion to the defects of his positions he was able to achieve the following results:

Norwich: 1) NJH 4½/5
2) D.Wright 4.

Wolverhampton:
1) J.J.Carleton 5.
2-4 NJH, J.Horner, T.D.Harding 4½.

Here is a game illustrative of the author's cool handling of a position that might make lesser players shudder.

J.M.Ripley v. N.J.Holloway.

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 Nf3 Bg7 5 Bg5 Ne4 6 cd Nxg5 7 Nxg5 e6 8 Qd2 ed 9 Qe3+ Kf8 10 Qf4 Bf6 11 h4 Kg7 12 e4 de 13 0-0-0 Nc6 14 Bc4 Qd6 15 Qxd6 cxd6 16 Nxf7 Rf8 17 Nxd6 Bxd4 18 Ncxe8 Bxf2 19 Nxf2 Rxf2 ( The triumph of Black's strategy; the rest of the game shows Black coolly capitalising on this occupation of the 7th rank.) 20 Rhf1 Rxg2 ( Of course not 20...Rxf1, dissipating the aforementioned advantage.) 21 Rf7+ Kg6 22 Rf8 Rg4 23 Bb3 Rxh4 24 Nc8 Ne7 25 R/1d8 Nxc8 26 Rxc8 Rxc8 27 Rxc8 ( One might be tempted to think that White stands better in this position. The further course of the game shows otherwise, however.) 27...Rh1+ 28 Kd2 g5 29 Bd5 Rh2+ 30 Kc3 Kg6 31 b4 h5 32 Kd4 g4 33 Ke5 Kg5 34 a4 Re2+ 35 Bc4 Kh4 36 Kf4 Rf2+ 37 Ke3 Rb2 38 Bxb7 Rb3+ 39 Kf2 Rxb4 40 Bc6 Rb2+ 41 Ke3 Rb3+ 42 Bb7 Rc8 43 Bc8 Kg3 44 Bb7 Kh3 45 Kf2 Kh2 46 Ke3 h4 47 Kf4 White resigns.

From Gwbert: R.Webb v. S. Webb
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 c5 3 Nf3 cd 4 Nxd4 Nc6 5 g3 e6 6 Bg2 Bb4+ 7 Bd2 Qb6 8 Nxc6 bc 9 0-0 0-0 10 Nc3 Be7 11 Na4 Qc7 12 c5 Ba6 13 Rel Rab8 14 Qc2 Rfd8 15 Racl Bb5 16 Nc3 a5 17 Ne4 Nd5 18 Ng5 g6 19 a3 Bf6 20 Ne4 Bg7 21 Nd6 Ba6 22 b3 Be5 23 e4 Ne7 24Bc3 Bxd6 25 cd Qxd6 26 Qb2 Rb5 27 Bf1 Rdb8 28 Bxb5 Rxb5 29 a4 Rb5 30 Qd2 Qc7 31 Redl Bc8 3o Qd2 Qc7 31 Redl Bc8 32 Qd6 Qxd6 33 Rxd6 Resigns.

## THE WEST WALES OPEN by A.G.Tragmar.

The reader may have noticed a strange disease afflicting the British chess scene in recent years, the chief symptom of which is the proliferation of weekend Swiss Tournaments. One such wart was the West Wales Chess Congress, played on Jan. 28th - 30th at Swansea University,a pleasant venue.

Nigel Holloway was for most of the tournament the leader of the Cambridge contingent, treating all the rabbits with his usual contempt ( including one unfortunate who, while staunchly defending against a kingside attack, was slightly shocked to find that the amazing Mr. Holloway had eaten his b-pawn). He lost in the last round to Swebb, ( who thereby walked off with the £50 1st prize.) and ended up with 4½ ( out of 6). Michael Stean and I, treating the rabbits with rather more respect, both suffered accidents against them, although he finished 2nd= with 5, and I secured the consolation prize with 4½.

There was also a 4th Cambirdge player, who had perhaps better remain nameless, but who for the purposes of this article will be referred to as 'H', who suffered a most horrendous accident at the hands of M.E.Binks ( a much undemated player, graded 172, who finished 2nd=) and eventually shared with M.J.Batman the prize for the lowest placed highest graded player.
Played in the last round:
S.J.Hutchings v. A.G.Trangmar.
1 c4 Nf6 2 Nc3 g6 3 e4 c5 4 g3 ( for 4 Nf3 Nc6 5 d4 see Dragon no. 37.) 4...Nc6 5 Bg2 Bg7 6 Nge2 0-0 7 a3 d6 8 Rb1 Ne8 9 d3 Nc7 10 h3 ( 10 0-0 was more relevant - Hutchings was concerned about ...Bg4 but what now happens to him is rather worse.) 10...Rb8 11 b4 cb 12 ab b5 13 cb Nxb5 14 Nd5 ( This loses a pawn but so does Hutchings' original intention of 14 Nxb5 Rxb5 15 Qa4 Qb6 16 Bc3 Rxb4! Whatever White plays he cannot avoid losing control of the squares d4 and, in particular, b4.) 14...e6 15 Ne3 Nc3 16 Nxc3 Bxc3+ 17 Bd2 Bxb4 18 0-0 a5 19 f4 !?? Ba6 20 Bc1 Bc5 21 Rxb8 Qxb8 22 Kh1 Nb4 23 Nc4 Nxd3 23 Qxd3 Qb4 and Black won - the remaining 21 moves of the game are left to the reader's imagination.

## HAMMERSMITH REPORT by Trevor Robbins

Played in the shadow of the venerable Bridge and the Boat Race, this tournament offered the best possible return for a hard Easter weekend's work - £100 and no questions asked.

There were about 10 post 200 grade players, who predictably came out at the top. The order in which they did so however was less predictable. For example, Fox won. Second prize was shared among about seven people including our own Bernard Rothbart. A last round pairing against a Simon Webb playing very close to the board ruined my chances of a share in the prize money. Another ex-member of the Fraternity, who used to be Junior Treasurer and at Churchill and whose name escapes me, had a more fortuitous pairing and squeezed into the prize list. M.J.Yeo also played some nice chess. To show how easy it might have been...

T. Robbins - J.D.Cheetham.
1b3 d5 2 Bb2 Nf6 3 c3 e6 4 f4 Be7 5 Nf3 0-0 6 Be2 c5 7 0-0 Nc6 8 Ne5 Nfd7 9 Nc6: bc6: 10 c4 Bf6 11 Qc2 Bb2: 12 Qb2: Qc7 13 Nc3 Nf6 14 Bf3 Rb8 15 Qa3 Rb7 16 Na4 Rb4 17 d3 Bd7 18 Rac1 d4 19 Qb2 c5 20 cd4: cd4: 21 Rcc1 Qd6 22 Rc5 Rc8 23 Rfc1 R/4b8 24 Qd2 Kf8 25 h3 1 - 0.

## THE WELSH CHAMPIONSHIP by Howard Williams

1) A.H.Williams ( Cambridge U. and Pontyclun ) 6/7
2) S.J.Hutchings 5½.

The decisive feature of this event was the all-play-all tournament which arose between Hutchings, M. Gavrilovic and myself, from which I emerged with 2 points, Hutchings with 1½ and Gav. with 0. The following game illustrates the standard of the play.

A.H.W. v. M. Gavrilovic.
1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 d6 4 0-0 Bd7 5 Re1 e6 6 c3 a6 7 Ba4 Be7 8 d4 b5 9 Bc2 cd 10 cd Nf6 11 Nc3 0-0 12 e5!? Ne8 13 Ne4 de 14 de Nb4 15 Bb1 Be6 16 Qc2 Nd5 17 h4! Qb6 (?) - g6! 18 Ng5(?) - h5! g6 19 h5 Ng7 20 hg hg 21 Bd2 Rfc8 22 Be4 Nh5 23 Kh2 Ndf4 24 Bxf4 Nxf4 25 Qd2 Nh5! 26 g3 Bb4 ( Has White overlooked something?) 27 Qe2 Bxe4 28 Nxe4 Bxe1 29 Rxe1 Kg7 30 Kg2 Re4 31 b3 Re7 32 Qd2 ( Threatening g4.) 32...f5 33 ef+ Nxf6 34 Nxf6 Kxf6 35 Qb2+ Ke7 36 Qg7+ Kd6 37 Rxe6+! Resigns.

A.H.W. v. I.C.Jones.
1 Nf3 Nf6 2 g3 d6 3 d4 g6 4 Bg2 Bg7 5 0-0 0-0 6 c4 Nbd7 7 Nc3 e5 8 e4 Re8 9 Re1 ed 10 Nxd4 Nc5 11 f3 a5 12 Be3 Nfd7 13 Bf1 Ne5 14 Be2 Bd7 15 Qd2 Ne6 16 Nc2 Be6 17 Nd5 Nd7 18 Nd4 Bxd5 19 ed Nxd4 20 Bxd4 Bxd4 21 Qxd4 Qf6 22 Qxf6 Nxf6 23 Rac1 Re7 24 Kf2 Re8 25 Bf1 b6 26 Re6 Rb8 27 Rec1 Rb7 28 Bh3 Ne8 29 Re3 Ng7 30 Re3 Ne8 31 Ke2 Nf6 32 Kd3 Nd7 33 Bxd7 Rxd7 34 Kd4 Kf8 35 f4 Rb8 36 Rec3 Rb7 37 e5 de 38 fe Ke7 39 Kd4 Kd8 40 g4 Ke8 41 Rh3 Rb8 42 Rf6 Kb7 43 Rxh7 Rd8 44 d6 ed 45 Rfxf7 d5+ 46 Kd4 Rxf7 47 Rxf7+ Ke8 48 e6 Resigns.